

MUON $g - 2$ IN A MODEL WITH ONE EXTRA DIMENSION

M. CIRELLI

*Scuola Normale Superiore and INFN,
Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, Pisa I-56126, Italy*



The computation of the muon anomalous magnetic moment in the framework of a proposed extension of the Standard Model to 5 dimensions is presented. The result (a small correction with respect to the SM prediction) is briefly discussed.


The measurement of the muon anomalous magnetic moment a_μ is currently one of the most stringent tests for “new physics” scenarios, particularly in the light of the recent and the future promised results from E821 experiment at BNL¹. Models with extra (space) dimensions are among the most interesting of such scenarios, but are often not capable of producing quantitative predictions and, as a consequence, can hardly ever be ruled out or confirmed by present energy experiments. In the model proposed in ref.³, on the contrary, calculability is achieved for several quantities; in this talk, based on ref.², I present and discuss the computation of a_μ .

1 The framework defined

The theory proposed in ref.³ is an extension of the Standard Model to 5 dimensions, with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry, compactified on $\mathcal{M}^4 \times \mathbb{S}^1/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}'_2)$. The compactification scale $1/R$ is set to $\sim 370 \pm 70$ GeV.⁴

This means that the gauge group is the SM one and the field content is given by the embedding of the usual SM fields in the extra dimension: for every gauge boson A^μ there is a 5D vector supermultiplet $(A^M, \lambda, \lambda', \sigma)$; for every matter field Q, U, D, L, E and for the single Higgs H there are 5D matter supermultiplets $(\psi, \varphi, \varphi^c, \psi^c)$.

As compulsory for a non-abelian gauge theory in 5D, the model possesses a cutoff Λ , which is set to $\sim 5/R \simeq 1.8$ TeV.



Under the double orbifolding, parities are assigned to each field and the resulting spectrum is shown in Fig.1: the zero modes reproduce the SM fields but in addition one has to deal with four towers of massive Kaluza-Klein states.

The global supersymmetry is completely broken, but restricted local supersymmetric transformations still hold.




Figure 1: Tree-level spectrum of the model

2 Computation of $g - 2$

The muon anomalous magnetic moment a_μ is defined by the effective Lagrangian term

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{ie}{2m} a_\mu (\bar{\mu} \sigma_{\rho\sigma} F^{\rho\sigma} \mu) \quad a_\mu = \frac{g_\mu - 2}{2} \quad (1)$$

At one loop, new contributions to a_μ arise from every diagram featuring the muon and a photon as external particles, when the loop is filled with the extra fields of the model by using any allowed vertex (see Figure 1 and Appendix A in ref.²).

We computed all the contributions at first order in $(m_\mu R)^2$ and in $(M_W R)^2$, and we checked that higher orders in $(M_W R)^2$ have a negligible impact. The resummation of the whole KK tower of states is finally performed for any diagram.^a

The total correction with respect to the SM prediction for a_μ is found to be

$$\Delta a_\mu^{this \ model} = -\frac{g^2}{192} \frac{m_\mu^2}{M_W^2} \frac{11 - 18 \sin^2 \theta_W}{12 \cos^2 \theta_W} (M_W R)^2 = -(1.1^{+0.6}_{-0.3}) \cdot 10^{-10} \quad (2)$$

and is to be compared with the uncertainties of the SM result⁵ $a_\mu^{SM} = (11659179.2 \pm 9.4) \cdot 10^{-10}$.

3 Conclusions

The deviations from the SM value of a_μ are quite small and well inside its errors; in this sense the model under consideration is a *viable* extension of the SM. Moreover, the predictive capability of the model has been shown for this quantity: the computation is *reliable*, i.e. insensitive to the cutoff and stable under higher order effects.

Acknowledgments

I thank R.Barbieri and R.Rattazzi for suggestions and discussions that led to the work presented here and I warmly thank G.Cacciapaglia and G.Cristadoro for the fruitful collaboration. It's also a pleasure to thank the Organizing Committee of the Moriond conference.

References

1. H.N.Brown et al.(Muon $g-2$ Coll.), *Phys.Rev.Lett.***86**:2227,2001, *arXiv:hep-ex/0102017*;
2. G.Cacciapaglia, M.Cirelli, G.Cristadoro, *arXiv:hep-ph/0111288*, in press on *Nucl.Phys.B*;
3. R.Barbieri, L.J.Hall, Y.Nomura, *Phys.Rev.***D63**:105007,2001, *arXiv:hep-ph/0011311*;
4. R.Barbieri, L.J.Hall, Y.Nomura, *arXiv:hep-ph/0110102*;
5. J.Prades, invited talk at “Kaon 2001”, 6-13 June 2001, Pisa, Italy, *arXiv:hep-ph/0108192*;
6. R.Contino, L.Pilo, *Phys.Lett.***B523**:347-350,2001, *arXiv:hep-ph/0104130*;
S.Groot-Nibbelink, *Nucl.Phys.***B619**:373-384,2001, *arXiv:hep-th/0108185*;
R.Contino, A.Gambassi, *arXiv:hep-th/0112161*.

^aThe existence of the cutoff is not inconsistent with such a summation, see refs.⁶.